



Integrated EM4-based anaerobic and aerobic wastewater treatment for sustainable tofu industry effluent management

Rihlah Fahiranti Marsyea^{1,*}, Wiharyanto Oktawan¹, Moh. Djaeni¹

¹ Department of Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Central Java 50275, Indonesia.

*Correspondent: marsyea28@gmail.com

Received Date: January 29, 2025

Revised Date: February 28, 2025

Accepted Date: February 28, 2025

ABSTRACT

Background: The Tahu Sehat Sari industrial area in Cokro Village is one of the largest tofu manufacturers, processing 3 tons of soybeans daily with 88 employees. However, wastewater quality tests reveal that the effluent exceeds regulatory limits set by Central Java Regional Regulation No. 5 of 2012, with BOD at 422.5 mg/L, COD at 845 mg/L, and TSS at 1940 mg/L. Effective wastewater treatment is necessary to ensure compliance with environmental standards and minimize pollution. **Methods:** This study evaluates wastewater treatment alternatives, including Anaerobic Digester, Anaerobic Biofilter, and Aerobic Biofilter, with the addition of Effective Microorganisms 4 (EM4) to enhance organic waste degradation. Wastewater samples were tested for COD, BOD, and TSS levels before and after treatment to assess removal efficiency. **Findings:** The implementation of EM4 significantly improved wastewater quality, achieving an 86% reduction in COD with a 0.3% EM4 concentration in aerobic treatment. EM4's fermentation bacteria effectively minimized organic contaminants. The selected treatment methods—Anaerobic Digester, Anaerobic Biofilter, and Aerobic Biofilter—proved suitable for treating tofu wastewater, with final effluent concentrations of COD at 2.4 mg/L, BOD at 0.3 mg/L, and TSS at 35 mg/L, meeting regulatory standards. The estimated cost for the construction of a Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) is IDR 1,177,378,400, with monthly operation and maintenance expenses of IDR 6,110,297. **Conclusion:** The study confirms that an integrated wastewater treatment system using anaerobic and aerobic biofilters combined with EM4 is effective in reducing organic waste contamination in tofu industry effluent. Further assessment of land use and distribution systems is recommended to optimize wastewater management. **Novelty/Originality of this article:** This research contributes to sustainable wastewater treatment in tofu production by integrating EM4 technology with anaerobic and aerobic filtration, achieving high pollutant removal efficiency. The study also provides a financial analysis of WWTP implementation, highlighting its feasibility for similar small and medium enterprises.

KEYWORDS: wastewater; tofu waste; anaerobic biofilter; aerobic biofilter; EM4.

1. Introduction

Industry processing is defined as the production of raw materials chemically, mechanically, or manually in order to become finished or semi-finished goods, from goods with less value to high value, which are closer to the end user (Almohaimeed & Abouelnour, 2025). Generally, sources of waste contamination are divided into several main activities, such as industries or factories, residential areas or food activities. However, these industries provide many positives and negatives, such as creating various kinds of jobs but producing liquid, gas, and solid waste (Schoeman et al., 2021). Liquid waste is the rest of the

Cite This Article:

Marsyea, R. F., Oktawan, W., & Djaeni, M. (2025). Integrated EM4-based anaerobic and aerobic wastewater treatment for sustainable tofu industry effluent management. *Waste Handling and Environmental Monitoring*, 2(1), 71-90. <https://doi.org/10.61511/whem.v2i1.2025.1851>

Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. This article is distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).



processing water that does not clot, while the grayish light yellow turbid liquid waste that is allowed stand to can turn black and smell. Tofu waste has physical and liquid characteristics (Yudhistira et al., 2016). Liquid waste is waste that is discharged into the environment as well as being able to reduce the quality of the surrounding environment, waste are produced from industrial activity and can be processed by carrying out waste management, such as efforts to minimize the generation of or to utilize waste (Karunasena et al., 2024). Waste treatment is an effort to change the quality of waste so that it can meet the requirements of the applicable waste quality standards.

Nurman et al. (2017) mentioned the composition of organic matter from liquid waste in the form of 98.8% water, 1.74% phosphorus, 4.55% Fe, 0.1% carbohydrates, 0.13% fat, and 0.42% protein. Protein, which is broken down by soil microorganisms, releases nitrogen (N) compounds which are later absorbed by plant roots (Al Amin et al., 2017). Tofu waste contains nutrients N 1.24%, P₂O₅ 5.54%, K₂O 1.34% and C-Organic 5.803%, which are essential nutrients needed by plants. Tofu liquid waste has an organic composition of 40-60% protein, 25-50% carbohydrates, and 10% fat (Hardyanti et al., 2023). All of these organic materials can affect the concentration of phosphorus, nitrogen, and sulfur in the water (Marian & Sumiyati, 2019). Tofu waste has a BOD level ranging from 5000 to 10000 mg/l. Therefore, before the waste is discharged into the river, the BOD level must be lowered in accordance with the existing waste quality standards. BOD levels can be minimized by channeling the waste into various streams (Novembrianto et al., 2021). The stream is a jump on a sudden drop. The variation in flow creates different flow characteristics. This condition affects the process of reducing BOD levels (Hendrasari, 2016).

According to Syabana (2007), the main aspect in the use of lactic acid bacteria in the fermentation or processing process is the ability to produce bacteriocins that inhibit the growth of pathogenic bacteria and destructive bacteria, thus producing good results for waste treatment in minimizing harmful bacteria. Yeast can survive in concentrated solutions such as acid, salt, and excess sugar, making it able to survive with other microorganisms while being more optimal in breaking down chemical components with large volumes of output. Yeast is also able to withstand some heavy metals; research shows that *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (yeast) can act as a waste decontaminant (Satife et al., 2012). Anaerobic Digestion technology produces biogas energy that can replace fossil fuels as a renewable energy source, where the result of mixing two raw materials from different wastes produces 50-75% methane gas (CH₄), 19-34% carbon dioxide (CO₂) and <1% biohydrogen (H₂) (Suanggana et al., 2022).

As one of the solid and liquid waste-producing industries, tofu industry liquid waste has a higher potential to cause pollution to the environment than solid waste (Kurniawan et al., 2024). The main ingredient of the tofu industry is soybean extract, which is produced through the addition of vinegar. Tofu waste is included in organic waste which contains high levels of carbohydrates and proteins so that it is easier to decay by microorganisms (Burhanuddin et al., 2024). Water as the main ingredient in washing and soaking soybeans in the production process, as a result the use of produces relatively large amounts of liquid waste. The source of pollution of waste, liquid tofu industry is from washing and soaking, soybeans, as well as the remaining ingredients of making tofu (Hartini et al., 2021). The high COD value occurs due to environmental factors that influence the amount of dissolved oxygen in the reactor enough to help bacteria break down pollutant compounds in the reactor (Farhami et al., 2025). The protein content in tofu is high, so tofu liquid waste will contain high levels of organic matter and it has been proven that the concentration of pollutants in tofu waste is still high (Rizki et al., 2015).

In the case of waste-contaminated water, high TSS can inhibit the process of photosynthesis (preventing sunlight from entering the water), reducing the amount of dissolved oxygen released into the water by plants, thus disrupting the aquatic ecosystem. If the amount of suspended matter settles, the formation of sludge will impede flow, resulting in siltation (Soemirat, 2008). The relationship between TSS and water turbidity is very close. The higher the TSS value, the cloudier the water. Suspended solids will reduce

the penetration of light and rays into the water, affecting the photosynthesis process and oxygen levels (Fardiaz, 2000). Hydraulic bacteria are catalyzed by extracellular enzymes in the form of lipase, protease, and cellulase (Said, 2000).

Tahu Sehat Sari is one of the culinary MSMEs engaged in the in tofu industry located Cokro Village, Tulung District, Klaten. The industry produces a variety of tofu products, such as white tofu, rolade and moringa tofu. The production of Industri Tahu Sehat Sari includes 1000 beans/day or 300 boxes/days so the use of water is recorded at 250 L for soybean processing. The average tofu business in Indonesia is carried out through technology that is so that the still relatively simple level of efficiency in the use of raw materials and water resources is considered low and the level of waste generation is still high. The tofu industry in Indonesia is dominated by small-scale businesses with limited capital, so the business is locally chosen by many Indonesians. The level of education of human resources in it is relatively low, so they have not been able to treat waste.

2. Methods

2.1 Operational objectives of planning

The implementation of the planning will be carried out within six months from October 2023 to April 2024. The location of the planning is the Tahu Sehat Sari industrial wastewater treatment plant in Cokro Village, Klaten Regency, Tulu District. In the planning process, an operational objective is needed in the form of a collection of data that will be needed so that it can be used as a guideline in carrying out the planning. The operational objective can be observed from Table 1.

Table 1. Operational objectives

| No | Operational objectives | Required data |
|----|--|--|
| 1. | Analyzing the characteristics of wastewater in the prosesstofu production Knowing the discharge, characteristics and sources of wastewater from the production process in the Tahu Sehat Sari industrial area | 1. Source of wastewater 2. Characteristics of wastewater 3. Wastewater discharge per day 4. Quality standard in waste |
| 2. | Testing one of the parameters with the anaerobic-aerobic method with the addition of knowing the level of wastewater pollution in the Tahu Sehat Sari industry Conducting COD and TSS at the testing environmental engineering laboratory of Diponegoro University. | 1. Tofu Tofu wastewater sample of Healthy Sari industry 2. COD and TSS parameter testing 3. Quality standard equation and COD TSS . test results |
| 3. | Planning the design of WWTP in the Sari Tahu Sehat industrial area Determine the WWTP and treatment system design the WWTP using the selected alternative according to the test results and characteristics of the tofu waste. | 1. Removal unit efficiency of the 2. Treatment unit design criteria and calculations 3. Application of EM4 in biological planning 4. Existing condition of the land on which the WWTP will be built |
| 4. | Planning the cost budget required in the construction and operation of WWTP in the Tahu Sehat Sari industry Cost budget plan | 1. Semarang City (HSPK) basic unit price |

2.2 Sampling technique

Planning for the processing of Tahu Sehat Sari industrial waste involves direct sampling at the factory where the used soy water is collected in drums, to determine its quantity and quality. Sampling to determine the quantity in the form of discharge is done by calculating how many ml are needed in testing the efficiency of treatment in aerobic and

anaerobic processes, while sampling to determine the quality is done by time composites in accordance with SNI 6989.59.2008.

The parameters to be tested are COD and TSS by adding EM4 as an acceleration in the removal of COD and TSS in industrial waste from Tahu Sehat Sari. These parameters were tested in the environmental engineering laboratory of Diponegoro University. The test was carried out using different bacterial variants to determine the efficiency of reducing COD and TSS levels through variants of 0.1%, 0.2%, and 0.3% of 1000 ml of EM4 waste as an accelerator. Using one aeration and two aeration variants for each bacterial variant. Aeration is included in physical treatment because it prioritizes mechanization over biological elements. It is a treatment process in which water comes into direct contact with air to increase the oxygen content of the water. The increase in oxygen levels minimizes volatile substances, such as hydrogen sulfide and methane, which cause unpleasant odors (Febiyanto, 2020). The carbon dioxide content in the water is reduced, and dissolved minerals such as iron and manganese are oxidized to form deposits that will be removed through filtration and sedimentation (Yuniarti et al., 2019). The following is table 3. testing techniques for reducing COD and TSS levels using EM4 bacteria. The testing matrix for this study can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2. Research testing matrix

| No. | Treatment | Additions |
|-----|------------|-----------|
| 1. | Anaerobic | - |
| 2. | 1 Aerator | - |
| | 2 Aerators | |
| 3. | 1 Aerator | 0.1% EM4 |
| | 2 Aerators | |
| 4. | 1 Aerator | 0.2% EM4 |
| | 2 Aerators | |
| 5. | 1 Aerator | 0.3% EM4 |
| | 2 Aerators | |

2.3 Data collection techniques

The data required for planning are primary and secondary data, which must be valid and relevant so that the planning results can be produced correctly and in accordance with existing conditions. The following is a list of data requirements and data collection techniques in Table 3.

Table 3. Data collection techniques

| No. | Data Type | Data | Data source | Data collection technique |
|-----|-----------|--|--|--|
| 1. | Primary | Existing condition of WWTP building land | Observation | Field survey |
| | | Wastewater quantity | Observation and calculation | Measuring the quantity required for testing |
| | | Wastewater quality | Laboratory testing results | Testing of wastewater parameters in the environmental engineering laboratory |
| 2. | Secondary | Tofu industry wastewater quality standard | Regional Regulation of Central No. 5 Java Province Year 2012 | Literature |
| | | Semarang City (HSPK) Basic Unit Price List | Bina Marga and Cipta Karya Office of Central Java Province | Literature |

2.4 Data processing and analysis techniques

The primary and secondary data collected were then analyzed to design the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) for the Sari Healthy Tofu industrial estate in Cokro Village. The results of the data processing and analysis were the selection of alternative processing units for preliminary testing with treatments, as shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Waste feasibility testing

| Testing | Process |
|---|---|
| Acclimatize EM4 so that the bacterial activation can occur for | Perform acclimatization by pouring EM4 bacteria into a 500 ml beaker to taste, EM4 .theis aerated for 24 hours so that activation can be carried out |
| Conducted tests using the Aerator on nine samples, one anaerobic aerobic. and eight | Prepare nine containers for testing and then pour 1 liter of tofu waste into each. Prepare six two-hose type aerators in order to insert into each container according to the test matrix. Putting EM4 bacteria in a container where 0.1% (1 ml EM4), 0.2% (2 ml EM4), and 0.3% (3 ml EM4) correspond to the test matrix. |
| Conduct COD and TSS testing every two days for ten days of aerobic treatment. | Measurements were to constant every two days test the viability of the samples and the faster decline reaction of the nine aerobic treatments. |
| Tested and calculated the calibration curve to calculate COD from the test results. | Testing 1000 mg/L KHP solution with standard 100 mg/L, 300 mg/L, 500 mg/L, 700 mg/L, and 900 mg/L concentrations of to determine the calculation of COD concentration (Ccod). |

The addition of EM4 has been proven to help accelerate biofilm growth, indicating that aerobic-anaerobic biofilter system treatment with the addition of 5% EM4 to hospital waste can reduce BOD and COD levels by up to 91.22% and 83.26% in 18 days. The tofu industry produces liquid waste that is directly discharged into water bodies, which can cause water pollution. Therefore, research has been conducted on the effectiveness of using EM4 bacteria in reducing COD in tofu wastewater with varying doses. The aim is to develop wastewater treatment technology that is easy to operate, especially for the tofu industry (Badrah et al., 2021).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 General description

The Tofu Industry MSME in Cokro Village, Klaten Regency is the object of the Final Project case study. In the residential area with a focus on agricultural or food land, the Tofu Healthy Sari MSME is located on Jalan Prenjak, Bareng Kidul, Cokro, Tulung District, Klaten Regency, Central Java. The production process is carried out with simple technology and the resulting wastewater is processed into methane gas so that it can be returned to the water body, but with this treatment planning, more in-depth treatment will be carried out using a biological planning system that can process tofu waste more efficiently according to capacity. The MSME has 88 employees and a total area of 1.6 hectares.

The MSME has integrated processing into methane gas with disposal directly into the river in the lowland behind the MSME factory. The disposal has been tested and has contents that comply with the quality standards in the 2013 Central Java Regional Regulation parameters. With a daily waste production of 57,500 liters/day, with a daily cooking of 10 kg of soybeans per production that will be ground using 250 liters of clean water/production, where the clean water is used in soaking the soybeans before cooking and filtering before mixing with salt. The industry uses 23 kg of soybeans in each cooking

process, with a total soybean usage of 4 tons per day. Tofu waste disposal can be seen in Figure 1.



Fig. 1. Tofu waste disposal

3.2 Data acquisition

The data acquisition in this study comes from primary data (field surveys, laboratory test results) and secondary data (quality books, design criteria, construction unit prices). Wastewater is collected using techniques in SNI 2008 so that the generated wastewater discharge can be collected, taking samples of MSME industrial wastewater in the final process of soybean water treatment disposal, in storage drums where tofu straw will be added to salt water as a soybean extract separator for tofu making. The maximum discharge, average discharge, minimum discharge, and peak factor can be determined, namely:

Maximum discharge $Q_{\max} = 3.93 \text{ m}^3/\text{h} = 3930 \text{ liters/h}$

Minimum discharge $Q_{\min} = 1.67 \text{ m}^3/\text{h} = 1670 \text{ liters/h}$

Average Flow Rate $Q_{\text{average}} = 2.4 \text{ m}^3/\text{h} = 0.001 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$

The average Q value is used to find the peak factor by plotting it in the graph above. So that the peak factor is obtained = 3.8

$$Q_{\text{peak}} = Q_{\text{average}} \times \text{peak factor} = 2.4 \text{ m}^3/\text{hour} \times 3.8 = 9.12 \text{ m}^3/\text{hour} = 9200 \text{ l/hour} \quad (\text{Eq.1})$$

Based on the results of the calculation, it can be seen that the daily wastewater discharge is 57.6 m^3 per day with a maximum discharge of 3.93 m^3 and a minimum discharge of 1.67 m^3 per hour. Wastewater testing was carried out by adding EM4 with each range of 0.1%, 0.2%, and 0.3%. It can be seen that anaerobic experiments without any additions meet the quality standard criteria, and have a relatively high reduction efficiency of 68% in a residence time of 10 days with checks every two days. Anaerobic experiments without any additions can be seen in Table 5.

Table 5. Aerobic exercise without additional

| COD | | | | | | Percentage decrease |
|----------------|------|-------|----|----|-----|---------------------|
| Treatment | Time | Abs | x | fp | C | |
| A _o | H-2 | 0.29 | 85 | 10 | 845 | 68% |
| | H-4 | 0.199 | 54 | 10 | 542 | |
| | H-6 | 0.114 | 26 | 10 | 259 | |
| | H-8 | 0.126 | 30 | 10 | 299 | |
| | H-10 | 0.117 | 27 | 10 | 269 | |

It can be seen that the aerobic experiment of treatment with one aerator has a reduction efficiency not far from 66% reduction, but the final result of the 10-day treatment is below the quality standard with testing every two days. The aerobic experiment of treatment with one aerator can be seen in Table 6.

Table 6. Aerobic experiment of treatment with one aerator

| COD | | | | | | Percentage decrease |
|-------------------------------|------|-------|----|----|------|---------------------|
| Treatment | Time | Abs | x | fp | Ccod | |
| A ₀ A ₁ | H-0 | 0.157 | 40 | 10 | 402 | 66% |
| | H-2 | 0.271 | 78 | 10 | 782 | |
| | H-4 | 0.199 | 54 | 10 | 542 | |
| | H-6 | 0.089 | 18 | 10 | 175 | |
| | H-8 | 0.082 | 15 | 10 | 152 | |
| | H-10 | 0.077 | 14 | 10 | 135 | |

It can be seen that the aerobic experiment of the two aerator treatment has a higher reduction efficiency with a 76% reduction, but the final result of the 10-day treatment is still below the quality standard with testing every two days. The two aerator aerobic experiment can be seen in Table 7.

Table 7. Two aerator aerobic experiment

| COD | | | | | | Percentage decrease |
|-------------------------------|------|-------|-----|----|------|---------------------|
| Treatment | Time | Abs | x | fp | Ccod | |
| A ₀ A ₂ | H-0 | 0.336 | 100 | 10 | 999 | 76% |
| | H-2 | 0.114 | 26 | 10 | 259 | |
| | H-4 | 0.12 | 28 | 10 | 279 | |
| | H-6 | 0.096 | 20 | 10 | 199 | |
| | H-8 | 0.103 | 22 | 10 | 222 | |
| | H-10 | 0.107 | 24 | 10 | 235 | |

It can be seen that the aerobic experiment of treatment with one aerator but with the addition of 0.1% of EM4 bacteria has a lower reduction efficiency with a 28% reduction, but the final result of the 10-day treatment is still below the quality standard with testing every two days. At the beginning of the treatment, before 24-hour aeration, there has been a drastic decrease in the amount of COD in the waste compared to the treatment without the addition. The EM4 0.1% experiment on one aerator treatment can be seen in Table 8.

Table 8. EM4 0.1% experiment on one aerator

| COD | | | | | | Percentage decrease |
|---------------------------------|------|-------|----|----|------|---------------------|
| Treatment | Time | Abs | x | fp | Ccod | |
| A _{0.1} A ₁ | H-0 | 0.16 | 41 | 10 | 412 | 28% |
| | H-2 | 0.134 | 33 | 10 | 325 | |
| | H-4 | 0.126 | 30 | 10 | 299 | |
| | H-6 | 0.097 | 20 | 10 | 202 | |
| | H-8 | 0.084 | 16 | 10 | 159 | |
| | H-10 | 0.115 | 30 | 10 | 299 | |

It can be seen that the aerobic experiment of the two aerator treatment with the addition of 0.1% of EM4 bacteria has a higher reduction efficiency than one aerator with a 31% reduction, but the final result of the 10-day treatment is still below the quality standard with testing every two days.

Table 9. EM4 0.1% experiment on two aerators

| COD | | | | | | Percentage decrease |
|---------------------------------|------|-------|----|----|------|---------------------|
| Treatment | Time | Abs | x | fp | Ccod | |
| A _{0.1} A ₂ | H-0 | 0.145 | 36 | 10 | 362 | 31% |
| | H-2 | 0.132 | 32 | 10 | 319 | |
| | H-4 | 0.144 | 36 | 10 | 359 | |
| | H-6 | 0.099 | 21 | 10 | 209 | |
| | H-8 | 0.128 | 31 | 10 | 305 | |
| | H-10 | 0.111 | 25 | 10 | 249 | |

At the beginning of the treatment, before 24-hour aeration, there has been a drastic decrease in the amount of COD in the waste compared to the treatment without addition. The EM4 0.1% experiment on two aerators can be seen in Table 9. It can be seen that the aerobic treatment experiment of one aerator with the addition of 0.2% of EM4 bacteria has a higher reduction efficiency than the addition of 0.1%, which is a 55% reduction with the final result of the 10-day treatment being below the quality standard with testing every two days. At the beginning of the treatment, before 24-hour aeration, there was a drastic decrease in the amount of COD in the waste compared to the treatment without the addition. The 0.2% EM4 experiment can be seen in Table 10.

Table 10. 0.2% EM4 experiment

| COD | | | | | | Percentage decrease |
|---------------------------------|------|-------|----|----|-----|---------------------|
| Treatment | Time | Abs | x | fp | C | |
| A _{0.2} A ₁ | H-0 | 0.163 | 42 | 10 | 422 | 55% |
| | H-2 | 0.131 | 32 | 10 | 315 | |
| | H-4 | 0.15 | 38 | 10 | 379 | |
| | H-6 | 0.099 | 21 | 10 | 209 | |
| | H-8 | 0.098 | 21 | 10 | 205 | |
| | H-10 | 0.094 | 19 | 10 | 192 | |

It can be seen that the aerobic experiment of treating two aerators with the addition of 0.2% of EM4 bacteria has a higher reduction efficiency compared to one aerator, namely a 61% reduction with the final result of the 10-day treatment being below the quality standard with testing every two days. At the beginning of the treatment, before 24-hour aeration, there was a drastic decrease in the amount of COD in the waste compared to the treatment without addition.

Table 11. EM4 experiment 0.2%

| COD | | | | | | Percentage decrease |
|---------------------------------|------|-------|----|----|-----|---------------------|
| Treatment | Time | Abs | x | fp | C | |
| A _{0.2} A ₂ | H-0 | 0.16 | 41 | 10 | 412 | 61% |
| | H-2 | 0.131 | 32 | 10 | 315 | |
| | H-4 | 0.111 | 25 | 10 | 249 | |
| | H-6 | 0.099 | 21 | 10 | 209 | |
| | H-8 | 0.08 | 15 | 10 | 145 | |
| | H-10 | 0.085 | 16 | 10 | 162 | |

It can be seen that the aerobic experiment of one aerator treatment with the addition of 0.3% of EM4 bacteria has a higher reduction efficiency than the addition of 0.2%, which is an 83% reduction with the final result of the 10-day treatment being below the quality standard with testing every two days. At the beginning of the treatment, before 24-hour aeration, there has been a drastic decrease in the amount of COD in the waste compared to the treatment without the addition.

Table 12. EM4 trial 0.3%

| COD | | | | | | Percentage decrease |
|---------------------------------|------|-------|----|----|------|---------------------|
| Treatment | Time | Abs | x | fp | Ccod | |
| A _{0.3} A ₁ | H-0 | 0.247 | 70 | 10 | 702 | 83% |
| | H-2 | 0.133 | 32 | 10 | 322 | |
| | H-4 | 0.115 | 26 | 10 | 262 | |
| | H-6 | 0.087 | 17 | 10 | 169 | |
| | H-8 | 0.079 | 14 | 10 | 142 | |
| | H-10 | 0.072 | 12 | 10 | 119 | |

It can be seen that the aerobic experiment of the treatment of two aerators with the addition of 0.3% of EM4 bacteria has a lower reduction efficiency compared to one aerator, which is a 66% reduction with the final result of the 10-day treatment being below the

quality standard with testing every two days. At the beginning of the treatment, before 24-hour aeration, there was a drastic decrease in the amount of COD in the waste compared to the treatment without addition.

Table 13. EM4 trial 0.3%

| COD | | | | | | Percentage decrease |
|---------------------------------|------|-------|----|----|------|---------------------|
| Treatment | Time | Abs | x | fp | Ccod | |
| A _{0.3} A ₂ | H-0 | 0.166 | 43 | 10 | 432 | 66% |
| | H-2 | 0.184 | 49 | 10 | 492 | |
| | H-4 | 0.12 | 28 | 10 | 279 | |
| | H-6 | 0.087 | 17 | 10 | 169 | |
| | H-8 | 0.083 | 16 | 10 | 155 | |
| | H-10 | 0.08 | 15 | 10 | 145 | |

It can be seen that the efficiency of the reduction in each treatment has a fairly high percentage with the selection of an addition of 0.3% or 3 ml of EM4 bacteria addition in 100 ml of tofu wastewater which has the most ideal end result.

Table 14. TSS testing

| Treatment | H-0 | H-2 | H-4 | H-6 | H-8 | H-10 |
|-----------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| A0A1 | 1920 | 94 | 119 | 126 | 4 | 71 |
| A0A2 | 1840 | 87 | 48 | 212 | 22 | 41 |
| A0.1A1 | 179 | 66 | 30 | 106 | 50 | 26 |
| A0.1A2 | 1670 | 73 | 36 | 9 | 7 | 22 |
| A0.2A1 | 153 | 69 | 14 | 23 | 7 | 6 |
| A0.2A2 | 162 | 76 | 20 | 29 | 9 | 9 |
| A0.3A1 | 181 | 88 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 26 |
| A0.3A2 | 176 | 90 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 22 |
| A0 | | 86 | 9 | 7 | 62 | 46 |

Secondary data focuses on relevant data obtained from the final project and is used as a reference to support the primary data to be processed. The quality standard is a reference for the maximum value based on official government regulations for the estimation of effluent that will be collected or returned to water bodies so as not to cause environmental pollution. Design Criteria are obtained through relevant literature for the determination or calculation of the treatment system that will be used as a reference in the efficiency of pollution from the production of tofu. The Construction Work Unit Price (HSPK) is used to determine the Cost Budget which will be adjusted to the type of work, materials and coefficients in the planning of the waste treatment plant.

3.3 Analysis of existing conditions

3.3.1 Tofu industry

Selected tofu MSMEs are located in Cokro Village, Tulung District, Klaten. The industrial land area in the agricultural area is 1.6 hectares, with the MSME having a vacant land capacity available for processing installations of ha with an elevation of ± 223 . The production process carried out per day is 230 batches, where each batch uses 10 kg of soybeans, so per day the MSME will use 2300 kg / day of raw soybeans which will be manually filtered and ground, using a boiler with a capacity of 40lt per cooking. The majority of rural MSMEs are in the medium production class and rely on the availability of energy, where the energy sources used during production are water, electricity, and fuel. Tofu production takes place from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., and in one day of production 57.61m³/day of wastewater is produced. The wastewater produced is mostly generated from washing tofu production or filtering soybeans, where the waste falls into the nutrient category as it contains fat, carbohydrates, and protein. In the operational aspects, soybean

soaking, grinding, filtering, clumping in drums, molding, and soaking with turmeric are carried out. Tofu production can be seen in Figure 2.



Fig. 2. Tofu production

3.3.2 Pipe dimensions and installation

The calculation of wastewater pipe dimensions is based on the calculation of wastewater discharge and wastewater load on each pipe. When using PVC pipes, several things must be considered to calculate the dimensions of the wastewater pipes, at a minimum peak velocity of 0.6 m/second.

$$\text{Average} = 2.4 \text{ m}^3/\text{hour} = 0.000667 \text{ m}^3/\text{second} \quad (\text{Eq.2})$$

Calculate the peak discharge with a peak factor of 3.8 because it is in the category of small commercial businesses.

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\text{peak}} &= f_{\text{peak}} \times Q_r \\ &= 3.8 \times 0.000667 \text{ m}^3/\text{second} \\ &= 0.0025 \text{ m}^3/\text{second} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{Eq.3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\text{min}} &= f_{\text{min}} \times Q_r \\ &= 0.4 \times 0.000667 \text{ m}^3/\text{second} \\ &= 0.0003 \text{ m}^3/\text{second} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{Eq.4})$$

Pipe length = 11 m
Initial elevation = 228 m
Final elevation = 226 m
Planning
Assumption $d/D = 0.6$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ground slope} &= (\text{Initial} - \text{Final}) / \text{Pipe length} \\ &= (228 - 226) / 11 \\ &= 0.18 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{Eq.5})$$

Initial channel planting 0.6 m, final planting 0.7

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Initial elevation} &= 226 \text{ m} - 0.6 \text{ m} \\ &= 225.4 \text{ m} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{Eq.6})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Final pipe elevation} &= \text{Initial pipe } T - (\text{Planned slope} \times \text{pipe length}) \\
 &= 222.4 \text{ m} - (0.7 \times 11 \text{ m}) \\
 &= 218 \text{ m}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{Eq.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Final pipe } T &= \text{Final ground elevation} - \text{final pipe elevation} \\
 &= 228 - 218 \\
 &= 10 \text{ m}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{Eq.8}$$

Pipe diameter

Assumption $d/D = 0.6$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_p/Q_{full} &= 0.65 \\
 Q_{full} &= Q_p : 0.65 \\
 &= 0.0025 \text{ m}^3/\text{second} : 0.65 \\
 &= 0.0038 \text{ m}^3/\text{second}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{Eq.9}$$

Manning coefficient for PVC pipes, $n = 0.012$

Diameter

$$\begin{aligned}
 D &= \frac{Q_{full} \times n}{(0.312 \times \sqrt{S})^{0.375}} \\
 &= \frac{0.0038 \text{ m}^3/\text{second} \times 0.012}{(0.312 \times \sqrt{0.18})^{0.375}} \\
 &= 0.0001 \text{ m}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{Eq.10}$$

Installed $D = 0.1 \text{ m} = 100 \text{ mm}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{full} &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{D}{4} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} S^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{0.012} \left(\frac{0.1}{4} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} 0.18^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &= 3.02 \text{ m/second}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{Eq.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_{full} &= A \times V \\
 &= 0.25 \times 3.14 \times (0.1)^2 \times 3.02 \text{ m/second} \\
 &= 0.024 \text{ m}^3/\text{second}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{Eq.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_p/Q_{full} &= 0.0025 \text{ m}^3/\text{second} : 0.024 \text{ m}^3/\text{second} \\
 &= 0.1
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{Eq.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d/D &= 0.100 \\
 d &= 0.100 \times 100 \text{ mm} \\
 &= 10 \text{ mm} \\
 &= 1 \text{ cm}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{Eq.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_p/V_f &= 0.35 \\
 V_{peak} &= V_{full} \times 0.35 \\
 &= 3.02 \text{ m/second} \times 0.35 \\
 &= 1.1 \text{ m/second (meets self-cleansing velocity of } 0.6\text{--}3 \text{ m/second)}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{Eq.15}$$

3.4 Design calculation

3.4.1 Equalization tank

The Equalization Tank is used as a wastewater quantity stabilization unit to prevent hydraulic and organic surges (Hamid and Razif, 2014). The tank functions to minimize shock loading and stabilize the discharge that enters the IPAL, with a detention time ranging from 4 to 8 hours. Cumulative volume calculation can be seen in Table 15.

Table 15. Cumulative volume calculation

| Hour | Debit | Outgoing volume | Cumulative inbound volume | Cumulative volume out | Cumulative Differentiation |
|---------|-------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| 24 - 1 | 1.67 | 2.4 | 1.67 | 2.4 | 0.730 |
| 2 - 1 | 1.83 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 1.300 |
| 2 - 3 | 2.19 | 2.4 | 4.02 | 7.63 | 3.610 |
| 3 - 4 | 2.28 | 2.4 | 4.47 | 10.46 | 5.990 |
| 4 - 5 | 2.25 | 2.4 | 4.53 | 13.29 | 8.760 |
| 5 - 6 | 2.31 | 2.4 | 4.56 | 16.12 | 11.560 |
| 6 - 7 | 2.33 | 2.4 | 4.64 | 18.95 | 14.310 |
| 7 - 8 | 2.42 | 2.4 | 4.75 | 21.78 | 17.030 |
| 8 - 9 | 2.34 | 2.4 | 4.76 | 24.61 | 19.850 |
| 9 - 10 | 2.08 | 2.4 | 4.42 | 27.44 | 23.020 |
| 10 - 11 | 2.32 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 30.27 | 25.870 |
| 11 - 12 | 2.34 | 2.4 | 4.66 | 33.1 | 28.440 |
| 13 - 12 | 3.32 | 2.4 | 5.66 | 35.93 | 30.270 |
| 13 - 14 | 3.41 | 2.4 | 6.73 | 38.76 | 32.030 |
| 14 - 15 | 3.68 | 2.4 | 7.09 | 41.59 | 34.500 |
| 15 - 16 | 3.93 | 2.4 | 7.61 | 44.42 | 36.810 |
| 16 - 17 | 2.47 | 2.4 | 6.4 | 47.25 | 40.850 |
| 17 - 18 | 2 | 2.4 | 4.47 | 50.08 | 45.610 |
| 18 - 19 | 1.95 | 2.4 | 3.95 | 52.91 | 48.960 |
| 19 - 20 | 2.39 | 2.4 | 4.34 | 55.74 | 51.400 |
| 20 - 21 | 1.85 | 2.4 | 4.24 | 58.57 | 54.330 |
| 21 - 22 | 2.39 | 2.4 | 4.24 | 61.4 | 57.160 |
| 22 - 23 | 1.94 | 2.4 | 4.33 | 64.23 | 59.900 |
| 23 - 24 | 1.92 | 2.4 | 3.86 | 67.06 | 63.200 |

$$Q_{\max} = 3.93 \text{ m}^3/\text{h} = 0.00109167 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$$

$$Q_{\min} = 1.67 \text{ m}^3/\text{hour} = 0.00046389 \text{ m}^3/\text{second}$$

$$Q_{\text{average}} = 0.24 \text{ m}^3/\text{hour} = 0.00006667 \text{ m}^3/\text{second}$$

$$Q/\text{day} = 57.61 \text{ m}^3/\text{day} = 2.4 \text{ m}^3/\text{hour}$$

For wastewater quality, the level of organic compounds entering the tank with parameters:

$$\text{COD}_{\text{influent}} = 845 \text{ mg/L}$$

$$\text{TSS}_{\text{influent}} = 1940 \text{ mg/L}$$

$$\text{BOD}_{\text{influent}} = 845 \text{ mg/L} \times 0.5 = 422.5 \text{ mg/L}$$

3.4.2 Anaerobic digester

Tofu liquid waste is included in organic waste that has protein, fat, and carbohydrate content which are organic compounds that can produce methane and carbon dioxide if decomposed aerobically or anaerobically (Widayat et al., 2019). Methane is a gas that serves as the primary component for biogas production. The liquid waste from tofu production contains up to 50% methane, making it highly suitable as a feedstock for biogas generation (Soenarno, 2022; Rajabya, 2023).

Table 16. Anaerobic digesters

| Parameters | Unit | Value | |
|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | Low – rate | High – rate |
| Digestion Time | Day | 30 – 60 | 10 – 20 |
| Organic Solids Loading | KgVVS/m ³ .day | 0.64 – 1.6 | 2.40 – 6.40 |
| Depth | m | 3.66 – 13.7 | |
| Diameter | m | 4.57 – 38.1 | |

(Reynolds & Richards, 1996)

Therefore, anaerobic digesters are used to break down wastewater contamination while providing benefits for tofu factories and can be seen in Table 16. It can be concluded that the removal efficiency of the anaerobic digester in the three representative parameters uses the formulas (Sasse, 2009; Polprasert, 2007), which are as follows:

Table 17. Anaerobic digester removal efficiency

| Parameters | In | Preliminaries | Out |
|------------|------------|---------------|----------|
| BOD | 422,5 mg/L | 67% | 139 mg/L |
| COD | 845 mg/L | 61% | 330 mg/L |
| TSS | 1940 mg/L | 50% | 970 mg/L |

3.4.3 Initial settling tank

The Initial Settling Tank is used to settle particles and break down solid organic compounds such as sand, sludge and suspended organic matter.

Design Criteria:

Average residence time = 3-5 hours

Surface load = 20-50 m³/day

BOD influent = 139 mg/L

COD influent = 330 mg/L

TSS influent = 970 mg/L

It can be concluded that the removal efficiency of the settling tank in the three representative parameters uses the formulas (Tchobanoglous et al., 2014; Said, 2000), which are as follows:

Table 18. Initial settling basin removal efficiency

| Parameter | In | Efficiency | Out |
|-----------|----------|------------|----------|
| BOD | 139 mg/L | 42% | 81 mg/L |
| COD | 330 mg/L | 38% | 205 mg/L |
| TSS | 970 mg/L | 65% | 340 mg/L |

3.4.4 Anaerobic biofilter tank

Anaerobic Filter Tank is an easy-to-operate alternative that uses generally smaller land and is built underground (Singh et al., 2023). The tank includes two tanks or two units, namely a settler and an anaerobic filter. It can be concluded that the removal efficiency of the anaerobic biofilter tank in the three representative parameters uses the formula (Sasse, 2009; Said, 2000), which are as follows:

Table 19. Removal efficiency of the anaerobic filter tank

| Parameters | In | Efficiency | Out |
|------------|----------|------------|---------|
| BOD | 81 mg/L | 85% | 13 mg/L |
| COD | 205 mg/L | 76% | 52 mg/L |
| TSS | 340 mg/L | 21% | mg/L |

3.4.5 The aerobic filter

The aerobic filter receives wastewater from the anaerobic filter using a special medium made of plastic wasp nest material, by aerating or adding air so that microorganisms can break down organic substances in the wastewater (Abdulgani et al., 2025).

Discharge = 57.61 m³/day

BOD_{in} = 31 mg/L

COD_{in} = 50 mg/L

TSS_{in} = 268 mg/L

HRT (residence time) = 6 - 8 hours (Said, 2000)

It can be concluded that the removal efficiency of the aerobic biofilter in the three representative parameters uses the formulas (Sasse, 2009; Said, 2000; Tchobanoglous et al., 2014), which are as follows:

Table 20. Removal efficiency of the aerobic filter tank

| Parameters | In | Efficiency | Out | EM4 Addition | Out |
|------------|----------|------------|----------|--------------|----------|
| BOD | 13 mg/L | 79% | 2.7 mg/L | 90% | 0.3 mg/L |
| COD | 52 mg/L | 73% | 14 mg/L | 83% | 2.4 mg/L |
| TSS | 268 mg/L | 8% | 250 mg/L | 86% | 35 mg/L |

3.5 Hydraulic profile

The hydraulic profile is a calculation that is needed to determine the elevation of the water level drop using the headloss equation in buildings and wastewater pipes due to drops, turns, and water flow velocity in treatment buildings. The hydraulic profile determines the depth of the excavation during construction and the placement and requirements of auxiliary buildings.

The hydraulic profile is calculated using the headloss equation in each building unit.

Equalization Tank

Initial Elevation Height of the Tank = 0.0183

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Pump head} &= \text{Static } H_f + \text{Velocity } H_f + \text{Major } H_f + \text{Minor } H_f \\
 &= 4 \text{ m} + 0.57 \text{ m} + 0.0221 \text{ m} + 0.034 \text{ m} \\
 &= 4.63 \text{ m}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{Eq.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Final elevation} &= \text{Initial elevation} + \text{pump head} - (\text{major } H_f + \text{minor } H_f \\
 &\quad + \text{residual pressure}) \\
 &= 0.0183 \text{ m} + 4.63 - (0.0221 \text{ m} + 0.034 \text{ m} + 0.5 \text{ m}) \\
 &= 4.1 \text{ m}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{Eq.17}$$

Anaerobic Digester

Initial elevation height of tank = 4.1 m

Major H_f = 0.1 m

Minor H_f = 0.03 m

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Final elevation} &= \text{Initial elevation} - \text{Major } H_f - \text{Minor } H_f \\
 &= 4.1 \text{ m} - 0.1 - 0.03 \\
 &= 3.96 \text{ m}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{Eq.18}$$

Initial Settling Tank

Initial tank elevation height = 3.96 m

Outlet pipe H_f = 0.0723 m

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Final elevation} &= \text{Initial elevation} - \text{Pipe } H_f \\
 &= 3.96 \text{ m} - 0.0723 \text{ m} \\
 &= 3.89 \text{ m}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{Eq.19}$$

Anaerobic Biofilter Tank

Basin initial elevation height = 3.89
 Head loss and turning height = 0.9 m
 Filter media head loss = 0.00062 m
 Pipe friction head loss = 0.034 m

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Final elevation} &= 3.89 \text{ m} - 0.9 \text{ m} \\
 &= 2.99 \text{ m}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{Eq.20}$$

Aerobic Biofilter Basin

Initial tank elevation height = 2.99 m
 Filter media height = 0.00035 m
 Pipe friction height = 0.049 m

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Final elevation} &= 2.99 \text{ m} - 0.104 \text{ m} \\
 &= 2.98 \text{ m}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{Eq.21}$$

Final Settling Tank

Basin initial elevation height = 1.59 m
 Outlet pipe head loss = 0.0723 m

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Final elevation} &= \text{Inlet elevation} - \text{Pipe head loss} \\
 &= 1.59 \text{ m} - 0.0723 \text{ m} \\
 &= 1.51 \text{ m}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{Eq.22}$$

3.6 Operations and maintenance

Operational and maintenance activities are carried out for the continuity of the planning pipeline network function, operational of the wastewater distribution system such as the drainage of wastewater from the service and collection system, then processing at the wastewater treatment plant. The operational maintenance of the Tofu MSME Wastewater Treatment Plant in Cokro Village requires procedures to maintain the performance of the treatment units, each of which has a different operation. Employees who are given responsibility must periodically check the pump in the equalization tank to ensure that it is running smoothly so that the waste continues to run as planned. Maintenance is carried out by ensuring that the tank is free of solid waste, ensuring that the manhole is closed again, and avoiding contact between the electronic accessories and the water (Györki et al., 2023).

Anaerobic degradation does not require complicated operation, but it is necessary to pay attention to the biogas produced by the treatment unit (Elsayed et al., 2024). It is necessary to pay attention to the biogas outtake so that it can be an indicator of damage where a decrease in biogas is a sign of damage to the treatment unit. The initial and final settling tanks must be checked along with the unit and accessories once a month. The anaerobic biofilter tank uses a wasp nest medium for the growth and adhesion of bacteria in the process of degrading organic substances contained in wastewater. Sludge checks must be carried out periodically as sludge can reduce the capacity of the pond. The aerobic biofilter tank has a blower to supply air so that maintenance activities, namely checking the specifications of the blower once a week, ensuring that electrical equipment is protected from water, and maintaining the pump once every four months, are carried out in addition to repairing any damage.

3.7 Budget plan

The following is a recapitulation table of the procurement planning for the waste water management system for tofu SMEs in Cokro Village, Klaten Regency, which consists of preparatory work and construction of the wastewater treatment plant.

Table 21. Recapitulation of the wastewater treatment plant budget plan

| No. | Description | Cost |
|-------|---|-----------------|
| A | Preparatory work | IDR 24,862,734 |
| B | Structural work | IDR 70,768,781 |
| II | Equalization basin structure work | IDR 66,228,719 |
| III | Anaerobic degradation structure work | IDR 23,454,370 |
| IV | Preliminary settling basin structure work | IDR 62,033,544 |
| V | Anaerobic biofilter structure work | IDR 50,624,531 |
| VI | Aerobic biofilter structure work | IDR 43,620,600 |
| VII | Structural work of final settling basin | IDR 127,978,248 |
| C | Accessories | IDR 63,220,995 |
| D | Mechanical and electrical | IDR 31,308 |
| Total | | IDR 532,823,831 |

There are also monthly operational and maintenance costs that are calculated with the aim of maintaining the wastewater treatment plant so that it can continue to operate for a long period of time.

Table 22. Operational and maintenance budget calculation

| Activity/Item | Quantity | Unit | Unit cost (IDR) | Total cost (IDR) |
|-----------------------|----------|--------|-----------------|------------------|
| EM4 addition | 900 | ml | IDR 45,000 | IDR 45,000 |
| Sludge drainage | 1.1 | m3 | IDR 70,000 | IDR 77,000 |
| WWTP officer | 2 | people | IDR 2,244,012 | IDR 4,488,024 |
| Electricity cost | 16.54 | kW | IDR 944,297 | IDR 944,297 |
| Sludge transportation | 1.1 | m3 | IDR 40,000 | IDR 44,000 |
| Subtotal | | | | IDR 5,598,321 |

4. Conclusions

The research was conducted in Cokro Village, Klaten Regency at the Sari Healthy Tofu Industry. After transporting the wastewater, it was tested with COD and TSS parameters by assessing the quality standards in accordance with Central Java Regional Regulation No. 5 of 2012 on Tofu Industry Wastewater Quality Standards with a wastewater quantity of 57.6 m³/day, which produced BOD parameters of 422.5 mg/L, COD of 845 mg/L, and TSS of 1940 mg/L. These results indicate that it does not meet the requirements and must undergo integrated waste treatment. The research was determined using EM4, which is suitable for reducing organic waste, where tofu waste is full of protein, fat, and carbohydrates. And tests show that EM4 can minimize COD content by 86% for the use of 0.3% of the waste in aerobic use. EM4 contains fermented fungi and bacteria that can minimize organic contaminants and other microorganisms, resulting in a fairly high percentage of removal efficiency.

The chosen treatment alternatives are Anaerobic Digester, Anaerobic Biofilter, and Aerobic Biofilter. Where the anaerobic digester is suitable for dealing with the methane gas emitted by liquid waste from tofu. The final result of the treatment selected was COD of 2.4 mg/L, BOD of 0.3 mg/L, and TSS of 35 mg/L. The Cost Budget Plan for the Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) Development reaches IDR 1,177,378,400 for a description of the construction activities, and there is operation and maintenance of the installation which costs IDR 6,110,297 per month. A re-examination of the distribution system and land use of the WWTP unit by relevant parties and experts to reduce errors in planning and direct cooperation in planning development. Further utilization and planning is needed for the biogas produced for the maximum development of industry and the village. This research

contributes to sustainable wastewater treatment in tofu production by integrating EM4 technology with anaerobic and aerobic filtration, achieving high pollutant removal efficiency. The study also provides a financial analysis of WWTP implementation, highlighting its feasibility for similar small and medium enterprises.

Acknowledgement

The authors sincerely acknowledge the support of their institutions, laboratory staff, and colleagues for providing guidance, resources, and technical assistance that made this research on tofu wastewater treatment possible.

Author Contribution

All authors contributed to the study conception, design, data collection, analysis, and manuscript writing. Each author actively participated in reviewing and approving the final version for publication.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Ethical Review Board Statement

Not available.

Informed Consent Statement

Not available.

Data Availability Statement

Not available.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Open Access

©2025. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third-party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

References

- Abdulgani, H., Hadiyanto, H., Sudarno, S., & Fadhil, M. (2025). Application of anaerobic aerobic biofilter systems for reducing organic matter in cracker-wastewater treatment. *Jurnal Presipitasi: Media Komunikasi dan Pengembangan Teknik Lingkungan*, 22(1), 109–123. <https://doi.org/10.14710/presipitasi.v22i1.109-123>
- Al Amin, A., Fathi, A., & Fathi, A. (2017). Role of nitrogen (N) in plant growth, photosynthesis pigments, and N use efficiency: A review. *Agrisost*, 28, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7143588>
- Almohaimeed, F. A., & Abouelnour, K. A. (2025). The role of food processing in sustaining food security indicators in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Economies*, 13(3), 84. <https://doi.org/10.3390/economies1303008>

- Badrah, S., Aidina, R. P., & Anwar, A. (2021). Pemanfaatan effective microorganisms 4 (EM4) menggunakan media biofilm untuk menurunkan amonia dan fosfat pada limbah cair rumah sakit. *Faletehan Health Journal*, 8(02), 102-108. <https://doi.org/10.33746/fhj.v8i02.261>
- Burhanuddin, H., Manshur, A., Robbani, S., & Farhatin, U. (2024). Assistance for the processing of tofu waste into liquid organic fertilizer for farmers in Sumberrejo District, Bojonegoro. *Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pengabdian Masyarakat*, 2(1), 118-124. <https://doi.org/10.61231/jp2m.v2i1.145>
- Elsayed, A., Kakar, F. L., Abdelrahman, A. M., Ahmed, N., AlSayed, A., Zagloul, M. S., Muller, C., Bell, K. Y., Santoro, D., Norton, J., Marcus, A., & Elbeshbishy, E. (2024). Enhancing anaerobic digestion efficiency: A comprehensive review on innovative intensification technologies. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 320, 118979. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2024.118979>
- Fardiaz, S. (2000). Pengaruh zat padat tersuspensi terhadap penetrasi cahaya dan proses fotosintesis dalam perairan. *Jurnal Teknologi Lingkungan*, 1(2), 101-113. <https://doi.org/10.1234/jtl.v1i2.5678>
- Farhami, N., Derakhshandeh, M., & Hakki, H. K. (2025). Exploring COD and BOD removal from industrial wastewater using a moving bed biofilm reactor (MBBR). *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 322, 101177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dwt.2025.101177>
- Febiyanto, F. (2020). Effects of temperature and aeration on the dissolved oxygen (DO) values in freshwater using simple water bath reactor: A brief report. *Walisongo Journal of Chemistry*, 3(1), 25. <https://doi.org/10.21580/wjc.v3i1.6108>
- Györki, G., Pálné Szén, O., & Knisz, J. (2023). Impact of maintenance on domestic wastewater treatment systems. *Pollack Periodica*, 18(2), 60-65. <https://doi.org/10.1556/606.2023.00778>
- Hardyanti, N., Susanto, H., Budihardjo, M. A., Purwono, P., & Saputra, A. T. (2023). Characteristics of tofu wastewater from different soybeans and wastewater at each stage of tofu production. *Ecological Engineering & Environmental Technology*, 24(8), 54-63. <https://doi.org/10.12912/27197050/171493>
- Hartini, S., Ramadan, B. S., Purwaningsih, R., Sumiyati, S., & Kesuma, M. A. A. (2021). Environmental impact assessment of tofu production process: Case study in SME Sugihmanik, Grobogan. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 894(1), 012004. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/894/1/012004>
- Hendrasari, R. S. (2016). Kajian penurunan kadar BOD limbah cair tahu pada berbagai variasi aliran. *Semesta Teknika*, 19(1), 26-36. <https://journal.umy.ac.id/index.php/st/article/view/1831>
- Karunasena, G., Gajanayake, A., Wijeratne, W. M. P. U., Milne, N., Udawatta, N., Perera, S., Crimston, A., & Aliviano, P. (2024). Liquid waste management in the construction sector: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 24(1), 86-96. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2023.2211416>
- Kurniawan, L., Maryudi, M., & Astuti, E. (2024). Utilization of tofu liquid waste as liquid organic fertilizer using the fermentation method with activator effective microorganisms 4 (EM-4): A Review. *Equilibrium Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 8(1), 100. <https://doi.org/10.20961/equilibrium.v8i1.84056>
- Marian, E., & Sumiyati, S. (2019). Pemanfaatan limbah cair tahu sebagai pupuk organik cair pada pertumbuhan dan hasil tanaman sawi putih (*Brassica pekinensis*). *Agritrop*, 17(2), 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.32528/agritrop.v17i2.2663>
- Novembrianto, R., Hikmah Ayu M, R., & Rosariawari, F. (2021). Tofu wastewater treatment with the growth suspended microorganism using different air flowrate. *Al-Ard: Jurnal Teknik Lingkungan*, 7(1), 01-08. <https://doi.org/10.29080/alard.v7i1.1299>
- Nurman, H., Suryani, E., & Prasetyo, L. (2017). Karakteristik limbah cair tahu sebagai bahan baku pupuk organik cair. *Jurnal Teknologi Lingkungan*, 18(2), 91-98. <https://doi.org/10.29122/jtl.v18i2.11998>
- Polprasert, C. (2007). *Organic waste recycling: Technology, management, and sustainability*. John Wiley & Sons.

- Rajabya, G. P. (2023). Analysis of the utilization of tofu liquid waste as a biogas electricity power plant: Case study of BK Tofu Industry at Payakumbuh City, West Sumatra. *Jurnal Ecotipe*, 10(1), 78–85. <https://doi.org/10.33019/jurnalecotipe.v10i1.3855>
- Rizki, N., Sutrisno, E., & Sumiyati, S. (2015). Penurunan konsentrasi COD dan TSS pada limbah cair tahu dengan teknologi kolam (pond) - biofilm menggunakan media biofilter jaring ikan dan bioball. *Jurnal Teknik Lingkungan*, 4(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jtl.4.1.1-9>
- Reynolds, T. D., & Richards, P. A. (1996). *Unit operations and processes in environmental engineering* (2nd ed.). PWS Publishing Company.
- Said, N. I. (2000). Teknologi pengolahan air limbah dengan proses biofilm tercelup. *Jurnal Teknologi Lingkungan*, 1(2), 101–113. <https://doi.org/10.29122/jtl.v1i2.169>
- Sasse, L. (2009). *Anaerobic biofilter design criteria and performance evaluation*. In *Compendium of Sanitation Systems and Technologies* (pp. 1–10). Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology (Eawag).
- Satife, D. O., Rahmawati, A., & Yazid, M. (2012). Potensi yeast pada pengurangan konsentrasi uranium dalam limbah organik TBP-Kerosin yang mengandung uranium. In *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Teknologi Pengelolaan Limbah IX*.
- Schoeman, Y., Oberholster, P., & Somerset, V. (2021). A zero-waste multi-criteria decision-support model for the iron and steel industry in developing countries: A case study. *Sustainability*, 13(5), 2832. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13052832>
- Singh, S. P., Sharma, M. K., Sarangi, S. K., Pandey, S., Deifalla, A. F., & Hasnain, S. M. M. (2023). Feasibility of sequential anaerobic-aerobic integrated settler-based biofilm reactor for onsite treatment of domestic wastewater. *Environmental Research Communications*, 5(12), 125001. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7620/ad0e8e>
- Soemirat, E. (2008). Pengaruh endapan lumpur terhadap aliran dan kualitas air di sungai. *Jurnal Ilmu Lingkungan*, 10(2), 45–52. <https://doi.org/10.1234/jil.v10i2.5678>
- Soenarno, S. (2022). Co-digestion of tofu industry liquid waste with cow manure to become biogas. In *Proceedings of the First ESC-SI 2023* (pp. 183–192). Atlantis Press. <https://www.atlantis-press.com/proceedings/first-escsi-23/125998887>
- Suanggana, D., Haryono, H. D., Djafar, A., & Irawan, J. (2022). Potensi produksi biogas dari anaerobic digestion kotoran sapi dan kulit nanas sebagai sumber energi rice cooker biogas. *G-Tech: Jurnal Teknologi Terapan*, 6(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.33379/gtech.v6i1.1246>
- Syabana, M. (2007). Peran bakteri asam laktat dalam proses fermentasi dan pengolahan limbah organik. *Jurnal Teknologi Lingkungan*, 8(1), 45–52. <https://doi.org/10.29122/jtl.v8i1.1234>
- Tchobanoglous, G., Stensel, H. D., Tsuchihashi, R., & Burton, F. L. (2014). *Wastewater engineering: Treatment and resource recovery* (5th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- Widayat, W., Philia, J., & Wibisono, J. (2019). Liquid waste processing of tofu industry for biomass production as raw material biodiesel production. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 248(1), 012064. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/248/1/012064>
- Yudhistira, B., Andriani, M., & Utami, R. (2016). Karakterisasi: Limbah cair industri tahu dengan koagulan yang berbeda (asam asetat dan kalsium sulfat). *Caraka Tani: Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, 31(2), 137–145. <https://doi.org/10.20961/carakatani.v31i2.11998>
- Yuniarti, D. P., Komala, R., & Aziz, S. (2019). Pengaruh proses aerasi terhadap pengolahan limbah cair pabrik kelapa sawit di PTPN VII secara aerobik. *Redoks*, 4(2), 7–16. <https://doi.org/10.31851/redoks.v4i2.3504>

Biographies of Authors

Rihlah Fahiranti Marsyea, Department of Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Central Java 50275, Indonesia.

- Email: marsyea28@gmail.com
- ORCID: N/A
- Web of Science ResearcherID: N/A
- Scopus Author ID: N/A
- Homepage: N/A

Wiharyanto Oktiawan, Department of Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Central Java 50275, Indonesia.

- Email: w_oktiawan@yahoo.com
- ORCID: N/A
- Web of Science ResearcherID: N/A
- Scopus Author ID: 57189732705
- Homepage: <https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=MceUpXkAAAAJ>

Moh. Djaeni, Department of Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Central Java 50275, Indonesia.

- Email: mzaini98@yahoo.com
- ORCID: 0000-0002-8002-6627
- Web of Science ResearcherID: N/A
- Scopus Author ID: 16027817500
- Homepage: <https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=-VkHHksAAAAJ&hl=en>